

1 Michael Cohen - #98066 E-filing Original
 2 LAW OFFICES OF BRUCE E. KRELL FILED
 3 Grove Law Building 6/29/07
 4 345 Grove Street Wayne D. Brazil
 5 San Francisco, CA 94102 Clerk, U.S. District Court
 6 415/861-4414 Northern District of California
 7 Fax: 415/431-4526 Oakland

5 Attorney for Plaintiffs

6 Howard L. Churchill - #79872
 7 LEWIS, BRISBOIS, BISGAARD & SMITH
 8 1 Sansome Street, Suite 1400
 9 San Francisco, CA 94104
 10 415/362-2580
 11 Fax: 415/434-0882

ADR

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 THE FAMILY OF LELA KAYE HORNER:
 12 Harold Horner, Connie Britt, Andy Horner,
 13 Cheryl Horner,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 vs.

16 Keystone America Inc., dba Jones and Lewis
 17 Clear Lake Memorial Chapel, and DOES 1-100,

Defendants.

No. C07-03441 WDB

**JOINT FURTHER INTERIM CASE
 MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND
 PROPOSED ORDER**

DATE: 8/11/08

TIME: 1:30 P.M.

DEPT: 4

18 The parties to the above-entitled action jointly submit this Further Interim Case

19 Management Statement and Proposed Order and request the court to adopt it as its Case

20 Management Order in this case.

21 In the first Case Management Conference, the Court sent the parties out with instructions
 22 to do basic discovery (without prejudice to doing fuller discovery later), with an eye to possible
 23 early mediation. In response, Defendant served basic written discovery, the parties exchanged
 24 documents and took the depositions of (a) the student embalmer who performed the at-issue
 25 embalming, (b) the Colorado mortician who received the body and determined that, because of
 26

1 the body's condition, the open casket funeral the extended family and community had sought
2 would not be possible, and (c) the Defendant's general manager at the time of the at-issue
3 embalming who was the supervisor of the aforesaid student embalmer.

4 This was somewhat difficult because the first two deponents are located in fairly remote
5 areas of the United States (Lewistown, Montana, and Trinidad, Colorado), requiring, in both
6 cases, 2-stop air transportation followed by lengthy car travel; and the last two depositions
7 essentially took all day. Further delay has been engendered by the fact that the transcript of the
8 second of those depositions took a long time to arrive from Colorado such that it could be
9 provided to the defense expert.

10 The transcript of the general manager's deposition has recently been received and sent to
11 the parties' consulting experts; and, as the Court is aware, based on Defendant's consulting
12 expert's opinion, Defendant has, within the past week, filed a Cross-Complaint against the
13 Colorado mortician, essentially for indemnity, pursuant to Stipulation and Court Order. This
14 Cross-Complaint has not yet been served; and it is not known what the response to it will be.

15 The parties still contemplate some further basic depositions which will likely present
16 similar logistic difficulties as the first two did, because the two to four depositions the defense
17 anticipates in this round (for the purposes of beginning to assess damages) would take place in
18 Clear Lake, California and Albuquerque, New Mexico; and, if the health of Defendant's
19 representative who dealt most directly with Plaintiffs permits, his deposition would be taken in
20 Clear Lake, although whether he will be able to be deposed may not be known for a while.

21 There IS umbrella coverage. Prior to Mediation, the parties will have to depose each
22 others' consulting experts.

23 The parties still believe that, after the above-mentioned discovery, and depending on
24 determination of the status of the Cross-Complaint, Mediation will still be possible, and would,
25 in any case, be the best way to resolve this case. The parties believe that a further continuance of
26 this matter for another three months would best serve the needs of the parties and the Court; and

1 they therefore join in requesting such continuance.

2 ADDITIONAL PARTY

3 The parties request that deposition discovery be held in abeyance until Cross-Defendant
4 Mullare-Murphy Funeral Home has appeared in order not to subject witnesses to multiple
5 depositions. The Court has ordered that Mullare-Murphy be served within 30 days of the Order;
6 the Cross-Complaint is out for service, and an appearance is anticipated within the time
7 prescribed by law.

8 This being approximately 45 days hence at the latest, the parties suggest a further Case
9 Management Conference on a date after that of the Court's choosing.

10 DATED: August 7, 2008

DATED: August 7, 2008

11 LAW OFFICES OF BRUCE E. KRELL, INC. LEWIS, BRISBOIS, BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP

12 By _____ /S/
Michael Cohen

By _____ /S/
Howard Leigh Churchill

13 INTERIM CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

14 The Interim Case Management Statement and Proposed Order is hereby adopted by the
15 Court as the Interim Case Management Order for the case and the parties are ordered to comply
16 with this Order. In addition the Court orders:

17 a. By no later than _____, 2008, at noon, the parties must file a Further Joint
18 Case Management Statement.

19 b. Further Case Management Conference on _____, 2008, at 1:30 P.M.
20 c. At that time, the Court shall make further Orders.

21 DATED: _____

25 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE